

Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and the Maritime and Coastguard Agency





Document status					
Version	Purpose of document	Authored by	Reviewed by	Approved by	Review date
F01	Deadline 2	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	October 2024
F02	Deadline 5	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	January 2025
F03	Deadline 6	RPS	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd	February 2025

Prepared by: Prepared for:

**RPS** Morgan Offshore Wind Ltd.

Signatories		
Signed		
Name	Nick Salter	
Date	21/02/25	
Position	Offshore Renewables Lead	
For	Maritime and Coastguard Agency	
Signed		
Name	Peter Gaches	
Date	21/02/2025	
Position	Morgan Generation Assets Consents Lead	
For	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited	



#### **Contents**

		T OF COMMON GROUND BETWEEN MORGAN OFFSHORE WIND LIMITED AND	
1.1		IME AND COASTGUARD AGENCY	
	1.1.1	Overview	
	1.1.2	Morgan Generation Assets elements under the MCA's remit	
	1.1.3	Overview of Morgan Generation Assets	
	1.1.4	Approach to SoCG	
1.2	Summ	ary of SoCG	
	1.2.1	Overview	5
	1.2.2	Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed	5
1.3	Agree	ment log	10
	1.3.1	Overview	10
	1.3.2	Shipping and navigation	11
Tables			
Table 1.1:	Summ	pary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the partie	s5
Table 1.2:		ary of pre-application consultation with the MCA	
Table 1.3:		ary of post-application consultation with the MCA	
Table 1.4:	Position	on definitions and colour coding	10
Table 1.5:	Agree	ment Log between the parties on shipping and navigation	11

## **Glossary**

Term	Meaning
Applicant	Morgan Offshore Wind Limited.
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for one or more Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Morgan Offshore Wind Project	The Morgan Offshore Wind Project is comprised of both the generation assets and offshore and onshore transmission assets, and associated activities.
Morgan Array Area	The area within which the wind turbines, foundations, inter-array cables, interconnector cables, scour protection, cable protection and offshore substation platforms (OSPs) forming the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets will be located.
Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets	This is the name given to the Morgan Generation Assets project as a whole (includes all infrastructure and activities associated with the project construction, operations and maintenance, and decommissioning).
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects.

### **Acronyms**

Acronym	Description
ALARP	As Low As Reasonably Practicable
CRNRA	Cumulative Regional National Risk Assessment
DCO	Development Consent Order
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
MCA	Maritime and Coastguard Agency
MGN	Marine Guidance Note
MNEF	Marine Navigation Engagement Forum
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
NRA	Navigational Risk Assessment
OSP	Offshore Substation Platform
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground



#### 1 Statement of Common Ground between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited and the Maritime and Coastquard Agency

#### 1.1 Introduction

#### 1.1.1 **Overview**

- 1.1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared between Morgan Offshore Wind Limited (hereafter referred to as 'the Applicant') and the Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA), hereafter referred to together as the parties. The SoCG sets out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the parties in relation to the proposed Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Morgan Offshore Wind Project: Generation Assets (hereafter referred to as the 'Morgan Generation Assets').
- 1.1.1.2 The need for a SoCG between the Applicant and the MCA is set out within the Rule 6 letter that was issued by the Planning Inspectorate on 05 August 2024 (PD-001).
- This document is intended to provide the Examining Authority with an overview of the 1.1.1.3 level of common ground between the parties. The SoCG will facilitate further discussion between the parties and will be updated during the Morgan Generation Assets Examination and submitted at the Deadlines indicated in the Rule 6 letter (PD-001).

#### 1.1.2 Morgan Generation Assets elements under the MCA's remit

- 1.1.2.1 The MCA provide a 24-hour maritime and coastal search and rescue emergency coordination and response service for the United Kingdom. The MCA also produces legislation and guidance and provides certification to ships and seafarers. The elements of the Morgan Generation Assets which may affect the interests of the MCA are detailed in Schedule 1 (Authorised Project), Part 1 (Authorised Development) of the Draft Development Consent Order (AS-003).
- 1.1.2.2 This SoCG covers the following topics of relevance to the MCA:
  - Compliance with Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 (and its Annex 1 Assessment of risks) and with mitigations proposed
  - Assessment and proposed mitigation of cumulative impacts and effects to shipping and ferry services.

#### 1.1.3 **Overview of Morgan Generation Assets**

- 1.1.3.1 The Morgan Generation Assets is a proposed offshore wind farm located in the east Irish Sea. The Morgan Generation Assets will include offshore infrastructure and consists of:
  - Morgan Array Area: This is where the wind turbines, Offshore Substation Platforms (OSPs), foundations (for both wind turbines and OSPs), inter-array cables and interconnector cables will be located.

#### Approach to SoCG 1.1.4

This SoCG has been developed during the pre-Examination phase and has been 1.1.4.1 progressed during the Examination phase of the Morgan Generation Assets. In



accordance with discussions between the parties, the SoCG is focused on those issues raised by the MCA within its response to Scoping, Section 42 consultation and as raised through the Marine Navigation Engagement Forum (MNEF) that has underpinned the pre-application consultation between the parties. This SoCG also includes those issues raised by the MCA during the post-application phase (i.e. relevant representations and pre-Examination meetings).

- 1.1.4.2 The structure of this SoCG is as follows:
  - Section 1.1: Introduction
  - Section 1.2: Summary of SoCG
  - Section 1.2.2 Summary of consultation
  - Section 1.3: Agreement Log.

#### 1.2 Summary of SoCG

#### 1.2.1 Overview

1.2.1.1 This SoCG outlines the consultation that has taken place between the parties during the pre-application and post-application phases of the Morgan Generation Assets. The agreement logs present the position reached on 27 February 2025 (Deadline 6).

# 1.2.2 Summary of Those Matters Agreed, Ongoing Points of Discussion and Not Agreed

1.2.2.1 Table 1.1 provides a summary of those matters agreed, an ongoing point of discussion or not agreed between the parties.

Table 1.1: Summary of areas agreed, ongoing points of discussion and not agreed between the parties.

Topic	Agreed
Compliance with Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 (and its Annex 1 Assessment of risks) and with mitigations proposed (NRA)	Agreed
Maximum design scenario and layout principles (including micrositing)	Agreed
Assessment and proposed mitigation of cumulative impacts and effects to shipping and ferry services (CRNRA) (including Mooir Vannin)	Agreed
Draft Development Consent Order and Outline Plans	Agreed



#### Summary of consultation

- 1.2.2.2 Table 1.2 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with the MCA during the pre-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets.
- 1.2.2.3 Table 1.3 below provides a summary of the consultation undertaken by the Applicant with the MCA during the post-application phase of the Morgan Generation Assets.

Table 1.2: Summary of pre-application consultation with the MCA.

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
Scoping of	pinion			
22/07/2022	Scoping opinion	MCA	Statutory	Assessment approach MGN654 compliance
				Impacts on vessel routeing and adverse weather routeing
				Cumulative impacts to be assessed
				Wind turbine layouts to comply with MGN654
				Export cable corridor marking and protection.
S42 Resp	onse			
31/05/2023	S42 response	MCA	Statutory	Concern over loss of safe navigable sea space that would increase collision risk.
Marine Na	avigation Enga	gement Forui	m (MNEF)	
10/11/2021	Meeting 1	MCA	Non-statutory	Project introduction and development process
				Project timeline
				Project Design (Scoping) and Refinement
				Community and Maritime Engagement
				MNEF purpose and ToR
				Site selection in relation to shipping and navigation constraints
				Impacts of COVID-19 on data collection.
06/05/2022	Meeting 2	MCA	Non-statutory	Project update - review of key themes from previous meeting
				Data Collection and NRA
				Impacts to ferry operators
				Relation of impacts on ferry routes with regulation and guidance
				Sensitivity of ferry operator schedules.
				Extent of incident data
				Safety of navigating in gaps
				Consequences of allisions with wind turbines

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non- statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
10/10/2022	Meeting 3	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Project update</li> <li>Application process</li> <li>Cumulative impacts of multiple projects on ferry operations (responding to stakeholder feedback)</li> <li>How the cumulative impacts will be assessed or examined</li> </ul>
18/01/2023	Meeting 4	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Introduction to Morgan/Morecambe combined transmission project.</li> <li>Project update</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>brongress</li> <li>Update on assessment work completed since MNEF 3 – HAZID workshop, PEIR deliverables Morgan NRA, cumulative regional NRA and bridge simulations</li> <li>PEIR process and statutory consultation</li> <li>Project revisions and commitments</li> </ul>
				Planned activities and next steps.
21/09/2023	Meeting 5	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Project update</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and progress</li> <li>Update on assessment work completed since MNEF 3 – HAZID workshop, PEIR deliverables Morgan NRA, cumulative regional NRA and bridge simulations</li> <li>PEIR process and statutory consultation</li> <li>Project revisions and commitments</li> <li>Planned activities and next steps.</li> </ul>
08/02/2024	Meeting 6	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Project update</li> <li>Update on assessment work undertaken since MNEF 5 and consideration of Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm)</li> <li>DCO application process</li> <li>Planned activities and next steps.</li> <li>Cumulative assessment approach and progress.</li> </ul>
	and navigation			
14/10/2021	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Introduction to the Morgan Generation Assets</li> <li>Morgan Generation Assets design</li> <li>Shipping and navigation data to be used for the EIA.</li> </ul>

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
01/02/2022	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	<ul> <li>Project update</li> <li>Confirmation of interpretation and application of relevant policies (National Planning Statement (NPS) for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (NPS EN-3)) and guidance</li> </ul>
				<ul> <li>Summarised key impacts and early assessment findings</li> <li>Proposed approach to assessment and resolution of impacts.</li> </ul>
14/02/2022	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Relation of impacts on ferry routes with regulation and guidance
				Site selection in relation to shipping and navigation constraints
				Impacts to ferry operators (Safety and Commercial)
				Need for a cumulative assessment
				Adverse weather routeing decision making
				Need for collaborative engagement in assessment.
10/10/2022 - 11/10/2022	Hazard Workshop 1	MCA	Non-statutory	Morgan Generation Assets Hazard Workshop.
24/05/2023	Email	MCA	Non-statutory	Email on the Applicant's intention to undertake further vessel traffic surveys to address consultation responses
				Summary of existing vessel traffic data help by the Applicant.
05/07/2023	Email	MCA	Non-statutory	Email to MCA regarding vessel traffic surveys.
18/09/2023	Email	MCA	Non-statutory	Email to update MCA about the post PEIR project boundary changes.
27/09/2023 - 29/09/2023	Hazard Workshop 2	MCA	Non-statutory	Morgan Generation Assets Hazard Workshop incorporating Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary.
19/12/2023	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	To provide an update following the Hazard workshops.

**Table 1.3:** Summary of post-application consultation with the MCA

Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
04/06/2024	Relevant representation	MCA	Statutory	Relevant representations of the MCA.



Date	Form of consultation	Stakeholder	Statutory or non-statutory engagement	Summary of consultation
17/09/2024	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Initial discussion on SoCG content and scope.
10/10/2024	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Follow up discussion on SoCG for Deadline 2, following MCA's Written Representation. Review of Agreement Log.
14/01/2025	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Follow up discussion on SoCG for Deadline 5. Review of Agreement Log.
20- 21/01/2025	Navigation Simulations and Hazard Workshop	MCA	Non-statutory	Morgan – Mooir Vannin navigation simulations and hazard workshop
07/02/2025	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Review of technical findings from Morgan – Mooir Vannin hazard workshop
21/02/2025	Meeting	MCA	Non-statutory	Finalisation of the SoCG

#### 1.3 Agreement log

#### 1.3.1 Overview

1.3.1.1 This section of the SoCG sets out the level of agreement between the parties. For each matter the status is identified as being either agreed, not agreed or an ongoing point of discussion, according to the criteria set out in Table 1.4 below.

Table 1.4: Position definitions and colour coding.

Position and colour coding	Definition of position
Agreed	The matter is considered to be agreed between the parties.
Ongoing point of discussion	The matter is neither agreed or not agreed and is a matter where further discussion is required between the parties.
Not agreed, but not material	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties, but is not deemed material
Not agreed	The matter is not considered to be agreed between the parties.

1.3.1.2 The following sections set out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application (as identified in section 1.1.2).



#### 1.3.2 Shipping and navigation

1.3.2.1 Table 1.5 sets out the level of agreement between the parties for each relevant component of the application in relation to shipping and navigation.

Table 1.5: Agreement Log between the parties on shipping and navigation.

Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status			
Shipping and	Shipping and navigation EIA chapter and compliance with MGN654 and its Annexes						
MCA.SN.1	Consultation	The MCA have been adequately consulted on shipping and navigation matters to date, either as part of the MNEF or directly with the Applicant and is satisfied with the outcomes of consultation with other stakeholders.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed			
MCA.SN.3	Vessel Traffic Surveys	The vessel traffic surveys were conducted in accordance with Marine Guidance Note (MGN) 654 (MCA, 2021), and therefore suitable for assessment.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed			
MCA.SN.4	Baseline environment	Sufficient primary and secondary shipping and navigation data has been collated to appropriately characterise the baseline environment.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed			
MCA.SN.5	Assessment methodology	The Formal Safety Assessment approach to the assessment of effects is deemed appropriate for the purposes of predicting potential effects on shipping and navigation receptors. The approach to the assessment is also deemed appropriate for the purposes of predicting changes to the baseline environment.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed			
MCA.SN.6		The assessment within Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) and Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and navigation (APP-025) has been undertaken in line with relevant shipping and navigation legislation and guidance including being compliant with MGN654 (and its Annex 1 Assessment of risks) requirements.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed			



Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
	The quantitative risk modelling methods (e.g. International Association of Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) Waterway Risk Assessment Program (IWRAP) and encounter modelling) used to assess collision and allision risk are appropriate.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed
	The navigation simulations were appropriate for informing Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed
	The Hazard Workshops were undertaken allowing adequate stakeholder input into the risk assessment and are reflected within the Navigational Risk Assessment conclusions set out in section 1.11 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed
	All relevant cumulative projects have been identified and are included within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed in meeting 17/09/24.	Agreed
sign Scenario a	and Layout Principles		
Maximum Design Scenario	The assessment of effects has been undertaken based on an appropriate maximum design scenario (worst case assessment of proposed DCO limits) for shipping and navigation. See Table 7.16 in Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation of the Environmental Statement (APP-025).	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
Layout Principles	The layout principles including minimum turbine spacing and lines of orientation (Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description of the Environmental Statement) (APP-010) are consistent with MGN654.  In respect of micrositing, this has been reduced to 50 m micrositing and 5 m installation tolerance at Deadline 3 in the draft DCO Condition 20(a)(ii).	Agreed in meeting 14/01/2025	Agreed
	sign Scenario a  Maximum Design Scenario  Layout	The quantitative risk modelling methods (e.g. International Association of Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) Waterway Risk Assessment Program (IWRAP) and encounter modelling) used to assess collision and allision risk are appropriate.  The navigation simulations were appropriate for informing Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).  The Hazard Workshops were undertaken allowing adequate stakeholder input into the risk assessment and are reflected within the Navigational Risk Assessment conclusions set out in section 1.11 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).  All relevant cumulative projects have been identified and are included within the shipping and navigation assessment.  sign Scenario and Layout Principles  Maximum Design Scenario of effects has been undertaken based on an appropriate maximum design scenario (worst case assessment of proposed DCO limits) for shipping and navigation. See Table 7.16 in Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation of the Environmental Statement (APP-025).  Layout The layout principles including minimum turbine spacing and lines of orientation (Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description of the Environmental Statement) (APP-010) are consistent with MGN654.  In respect of micrositing, this has been reduced to 50 m micrositing and 5 m installation tolerance at	The quantitative risk modelling methods (e.g. International Association of Lighthouse Authorities (IALA) Waterway Risk Assessment Program (IWRAP) and encounter modelling) used to assess collision and allision risk are appropriate.  The navigation simulations were appropriate for informing Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).  The Hazard Workshops were undertaken allowing adequate stakeholder input into the risk assessment and are reflected within the Navigational Risk Assessment conclusions set out in section 1.11 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060).  All relevant cumulative projects have been identified and are included within the shipping and navigation assessment.  Sign Scenario and Layout Principles  Maximum Design Scenario Scenario Scenario  The assessment of effects has been undertaken based on an appropriate maximum design scenario (worst case assessment of proposed DCO limits) for shipping and navigation. See Table 7.16 in Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation of the Environmental Statement (APP-025).  Layout Principles  The layout principles including minimum turbine spacing and lines of orientation (Volume 1, Chapter 3: Project Description of the Environmental Statement) (APP-010) are consistent with MGN654. In respect of micrositing, this has been reduced to 50 m micrositing and 5 m installation tolerance at



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
Navigational	Risk Assessme	nt (NRA)		
MCA.SN.13	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan	All hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.14	Generation Assets alone (NRA)	The potential effects identified within Volume 2, Chapter 7: Shipping and Navigation of the Environmental Statement (APP-025) represent a comprehensive list of potential effects on shipping and navigation from the Morgan Generation Assets.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.15		All navigational safety hazards have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP)) and there are no unacceptable navigational safety hazards.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.16		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all risk hazards scored as medium can be considered ALARP with the proposed risk control measures.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.17		The Morgan Generation Assets would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes essential to international navigation (Traffic Separation Schemes).	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.18		The impact assessment conclusions for search and rescue and emergency response are consistent with MGN654 subject to the agreement of post-consent plans with the MCA as secured within the draft DCO.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.19		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
Cumulative R	Regional Naviga	tional Risk Assessment		
MCA.SN.20	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets	All hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.21	cumulatively (excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary (CRNRA))	All navigational safety hazards relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) have been assessed as either Broadly Acceptable or Tolerable (if ALARP) and there are no unacceptable navigational safety hazards.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.22		The mitigation measures described within Table 1.9 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) are appropriate. Further mitigation measures identified (but not adopted) in Table 1.42 of Volume 4, Annex 7.1: Navigational Risk Assessment (APP-060) would be disproportionate and therefore all risk hazards scored as medium relating to the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) can be considered ALARP with the proposed risk control measures.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.23		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes (NPS EN-3, paragraph 2.8.326-7) essential to international navigation.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.24		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (excluding Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services between Liverpool and Douglas, Heysham and Douglas, Heysham and Belfast, and Liverpool and Belfast.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
MCA.SN.25a		The impact assessment conclusions for search and rescue and emergency response are consistent with MGN654 subject to the agreement of post-consent plans with the MCA as secured within the draft DCO.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.25b		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (excluding Mooir Vannin) would not be significant in EIA terms with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.26	Assessment of the effects from the Morgan Generation Assets	All hazards and impacts identified as relevant to the Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary) have been assessed within the shipping and navigation assessment.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.27	cumulatively (including Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary (CRNRA) Appendix D (APP-060))	Allision and collision risk hazards between the Morgan Array Area and Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary could be unacceptable based on the findings of the Cumulative Regional Navigational Risk Assessment Appendix D (APP-060).	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1	Agreed
MCA.SN.28		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) would not interfere with the use of recognised sea lanes (NPS EN-3, paragraph 2.8.326-7) essential to international navigation.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.29		The Morgan Generation Assets in combination with cumulative projects (including Mooir Vannin Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Boundary) could have potential significant effects on lifeline ferry services between Liverpool and Douglas, Heysham and Douglas, Heysham and Belfast, and Liverpool and Belfast. The Applicant is engaging with the affected operators on the residual effects.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
MCA.SN.30		southern boundary in December 2024, increasing the distance between Morgan Generation Assets and Mooir Vannin to 4.1 nm.  The Applicant notes Mooir Vannin's response to ExQ1 SN1.9 (REP3-041) which notes the full results of their NRA process will not be available until at least March 2025, likely after the close of our examination.  The Applicant has undertaken an update to the CRNRA Appendix D to determine whether there would	The MCA have been involved in the review of the updated CRNRA (safety justification technical note) regarding the revised distance between the Projects and a final position on navigational safety of the	Agreed
			The MCA and MMO will approve the final design plan post consent, which will be designed to the current	
MCA.SN.31a		The impact assessment conclusions for search and rescue and emergency response are consistent with MGN654 subject to the agreement of post-consent plans with the MCA as secured within the draft DCO.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
MCA.SN.31b		All other impacts (impacts on search and rescue, radar, communications and positioning systems, etc.) assessed for the cumulative scenario (including Mooir Vannin Scoping Boundary) would not present an unacceptable risk to, or interference with, human health and public safety with proposed mitigation measures in place.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24.	Agreed
Draft Develop	oment Consent	Order and Outline Plans		
MCA.DCO.1	Mitigation/ management measures	The wording of conditions relevant to navigational safety within Schedule 3 and Schedule 4 of the draft DCO (AS-003) are appropriate and adequate.	Agreed in meeting 14/01/2025.	Agreed



Reference Number	Discussion point	Applicant's Position	The MCA's Position	Status
MCA.DCO.2	Safety Zones	The principles of proposals for safety zones as set out within Document J5: Safety zone statement (APP-106) are appropriate.	Agreed based on MCA's written representation submitted at Deadline 1 and confirmed in meeting 10/10/24 – noting that the Applicant will not apply for 50 m operational safety zones.	Agreed
MCA.DCO.3	Outline Offshore Environmental Management Plan	The offshore EMP provides an Outline Marine Pollution Contingency Plan in Part III, Annex A, and a final version will be prepared post consent.	Agreed in meeting 14/01/2025.	Agreed